

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,602	09/938,602 08/27/2001		Hsiang Tsun Yen	YENH3001/EM/7170	2370
23364	7590	09/28/2004		EXAMINER	
BACON &			KENDALL, CHUCK O		
	625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR				PAPER NUMBER
ALEXAND		22314	2122	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action C		09/938,602	YEN, HSIANG TSUN				
Office	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Chuck Kendall	2122				
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE MAILING D - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. hay be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. Its from the mailing date of this communication. specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the set or extended period for reply will, by statuty the Office later than three months after the mailing dijustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsiv	e to communication(s) filed on 27 A	August 2001.					
2a) ☐ This action	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in a	accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Clair	ms						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	-10 is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the	above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5)☐ Claim(s) _	is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) _	are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specifi	cation is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)∏ The drawin	g(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant m	ay not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	nt drawing sheet(s) including the correc		· · ·				
11)☐ The oath o	r declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119		- Andrews				
12) Acknowled	gment is made of a claim for foreigr ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ified copies of the priority document	ts have been received					
	ified copies of the priority document		on No.				
	ies of the certified copies of the price		 -				
	ication from the International Burea						
	ched detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of Reference	es Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	6) Other:					

Äpplication/Control Number: 09/938,602 Page 2

Art Unit: 2122

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the application filed 08/27/01.

2. Claims 1 - 10 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nonaka et al. USPN 5,619,716 in view of Fiske 6,324,692 B1.

Regarding claim 1, a method for updating an executing application software in a module manner (for updating executing application software, see using identical versions at any time, 3: 1-5) comprising:

a client computer executing a first application software and raising a request (FIG. 6, 1801, and associated text), the first application software including a plurality of first function modules, the client computer storing a first configuration file (FIG. 5, 1901 A, see configuration file for client A), the first configuration file further having a first application software version identification code respective to the first application software and a plurality of first function module version identification codes, (FIG.6, step 1802, see check configuration of client, also see associated text) each of the first function module version identification codes being respective to one of first function modules, the client computer further having a first storage location (3:37 – 40) and a second storage location.

Art Unit: 2122

a server accepting the request and sending out a second configuration file to the client computer according to the request (FIG. 6, also see associated text), the second configuration file having an application software name, a file location (3: 50 - 55, see program and version, also see configuration file from FIG. 5, and since it can be implemented with multiple clients in a networked environment, it would be inherent to identify each client through either name or location), a second application software version identification code and a plurality of second function module version identification codes, the file location being respective to a storage device (3:43 - 46), the storage device storing a second application software respective to the application software name (3: 50 - 55, for storage means), the second application software version identification code being respective to the second application software, the second application software including a plurality of second function modules, each of the second function module version identification codes being respective (3: 55 - 57, shows versioning) to a second function module, and each of the first function modules being respective to a second function module (3: 43 - 57); and the client computer executing following steps:

- (a) the first application software receiving the second configuration file (FIG.6, 1802);
- (b) the first application software determining whether or not the second application software version identification code is the same as the first application software version identification code; if yes, keeping executing the first application software; if no, going to step (c) (FIG. 6, 1804 & 1805);
- (c) the first application software determining whether or not the second function module version identification code is the same as the respective first function module version identification code;

if yes, going to step (d); if no, going to step (e) (FIG. 19, 1333 - 1335);

- (d) the first application software determining whether or not any unprocessed second function module version identification code exists (FIG.6, 1805); if yes, going to step (c) for determining the next second function module version identification code; if no, going to step (f) (FIG. 6, 1809);
 - (e) the first application software following the file location of the second configuration file to connect the respective storage device for downloading and storing the second

Art Unit: 2122

function module respective to the second function module version identification code; then, going to step (FIG. 19, 1334, see receive content, same as downloading) (d); (f) the first function module stored in the first storage location duplicating the second function module respective to the first function module stored in the second storage location to the second storage location for replacing the respective first function module(3: 40 –65, see replace and comparing the second storage means and second equipment);

- (g) the first function module stored in the first storage location starting the first function module stored in the second storage location (FIG.3, 2730);
- (h) ending the first function module stored in the first storage location (FIG.3, 2730 and see last step "end").

Nonaka discloses manually electing by a user whether or not to update the program, and depending on whether an update is selected the update is either ended or proceeds to the next step of updating the software (15:17 – 27). Nonaka doesn't explicitly dividing into a first group and a second group, and the first function modules of the first group duplicating the second function module respective to the first function module for replacing the respective first function module. However, Fiske discloses in an analogous art creating a backup of original program (i.e. copying original program into another location or duplicating as used in prior art) prior to performing update (FIG. 2, 110), and depending on if update is successful being able to revert back to previous version (FIG. 2, 190).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Nonaka and Fiske because, copying or backing up the program would enable one the ability to restore the system in the event of a system crash or software conflict.

Regarding claim 2, the method for updating an executing application software in a module manner according to claim 1, wherein said step (h) includes: said first function module stored in said first storage location ending by itself (Nonaka, 3: 37 – 40).

Regarding claim 3, the method for updating an executing application software in a module manner according to claim 1, wherein said step (h) includes: said first

Art Unit: 2122

function module stored in said second storage location ending said first function module stored in said first storage location (Nonaka, 3:43-47).

Regarding claim 4, the method for updating an executing application software in a module manner according to claim 1, wherein said storage device is an external server (Nonaka, FIG.1, 10 & 15).

Regarding claim 5, the method for updating an executing application software in a module manner according to claim 1, wherein said server includes said storage device (Nonaka, FIG. 1, 15).

Regarding claim 6, the method for updating an executing application software in a module manner according to claim 1, wherein said client computer replaces said first configuration file with said second configuration file while said second application software version identification code is not the same as said first application software version identification code (Nonaka, 3: 57 –59).

Regarding claim 7, which recites the system version of claim 1, see rationale above as previously discussed.

Regarding claim 8, which recites the system version of claim 4, see rationale above as previously discussed.

Regarding claim 9, which recites the system version of claim 5, see rationale above as previously discussed.

Regarding claim 10, which recites the system version of claim 6, see rationale above as previously discussed.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Boutcher USPN 5,915,112.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Kendall whose telephone number is 703-3086608. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 am - 6:30pm.

Art Unit: 2122

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on 703-3054552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CK.

TUAN DAM CURERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER